

**Spring Branch Independent School District  
Agenda Item Information**

**Date of Board Meeting:** July 28, 2008

**Subject:** District TAKS Results 2008

**Administrator Responsible:**

**Name:** Jennifer Blaine Ed.D.

**Position:** Associate Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction

**Purpose of Agenda Item:**

Information only       Action needed       Report

**Additional Information and/or Back-Up:**


Dr. Keith Haffey will present the district's 2008 TAKS results.

# 2008 District Results



## 2008 District TAKS Results




Prepared by  
 Department of  
Accountability and Research  
Keith Haffey, Ed.D., Executive Director



### 2008 District Highlights 25 Performance Areas

- ◆ Improvement in 16 areas
- ◆ Maintained in 5 areas
- ◆ 2007: 11 – Exemplary 9 – Recognized 5 – Acceptable
- ◆ 2008: 13 – Exemplary 8 – Recognized 4 – Acceptable
- ◆ Exceeded exemplary level in social studies in all student groups
- ◆ Narrowed gaps toward exemplary district to 8 or fewer points in reading/ELA (5 to 4 points), math (5 to 4 points), and science (24 to 8 points)
- ◆ Improved commended levels in 18 areas

# 2008 District Results



**District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups: Grades 3-11 Met Standards**

Legend: **E**xemplary, **R**ecognized, **A**cceptable

	2008					2007				
	Rdg/ ELA	Writing	Math	Sci.	SS	Rdg/ ELA	Writing	Math	Sci.	SS
<b>% Met Standards</b>										
All Students	92	94	86	81	95	92	95	86	74	90
African American	87	88	71	67	93	85	94	70	51	81
Hispanic	87	90	79	68	91	86	94	79	58	82
White	98	98	96	96	99	98	98	95	93	98
Eco. Dis.	86	90	78	67	91	85	93	77	56	81
	2008					2007				
	Rdg/ ELA	Writing	Math	Sci.	SS	Rdg/ ELA	Writing	Math	Sci.	SS
<b>% Commended</b>										
All Students	40	34	38	30	50	37	36	36	26	49
African American	24	21	15	13	33	21	19	12	8	24
Hispanic	23	21	22	14	29	22	22	22	13	26
White	62	52	57	48	71	56	56	52	41	71
Eco. Dis.	21	19	21	14	28	20	20	21	11	24

From TAKS return

# 2008 District Results

**Mathematics District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 3-5**

Exemplary  
Recognized  
Acceptable

		2008					2007				
% Met Standards	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 3	90	67	84	97	82	87	64	82	94	76
	Grade 3 Sp	78		78		78	81		81		81
	Grade 4	91	74	88	96	86	92	83	89	94	88
	Grade 4 Sp	75		75		75	79		79		80
	Grade 5	92	82	88	98	88	93	76	90	99	89
	Grade 5 Sp	51		51		51	59		59		59
	<b>Combined 3-11</b>	86	71	79	96	78	86	70	79	95	77

		2008					2007				
% Commended	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 3	42	12	30	55	27	36	8	25	46	20
	Grade 3 Sp	18		18		18	19		19		19
	Grade 4	40	16	26	55	24	44	26	31	61	28
	Grade 4 Sp	25		25		25	33		33		33
	Grade 5	53	29	40	71	37	53	24	39	73	37
	Grade 5 Sp	12		12		11	20		20		20
	<b>Combined 3-11</b>	38	15	22	57	21	36	12	22	52	21

**Mathematics District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 6-11**

Exemplary  
Recognized  
Acceptable


		2008					2007				
% Met Standards	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 6	87	78	78	98	78	88	77	83	97	81
	Grade 7	88	79	80	98	80	89	75	83	97	82
	Grade 8	90	76	85	98	83	83	65	73	95	71
	Grade 9	74	56	56	94	56	74	49	58	90	56
	Grade 10	81	57	69	93	66	81	64	67	93	67
	Grade 11	88	72	79	97	76	89	74	81	95	79
	<b>Combined 3-11</b>	86	71	79	96	78	86	70	79	95	77

		2008					2007				
% Commended	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 6	48	28	31	70	29	44	16	30	61	30
	Grade 7	28	10	15	44	14	30	5	16	47	15
	Grade 8	36	10	17	56	16	29	6	13	47	12
	Grade 9	37	14	16	60	15	33	10	15	52	14
	Grade 10	31	6	13	46	12	27	4	9	41	8
	Grade 11	41	11	19	57	17	33	14	13	48	12
	<b>Combined 3-11</b>	38	15	22	57	21	36	12	22	52	21


# 2008 District Results

## Comparison of Economically Disadvantaged to Non-Economically Disadvantaged



2008 All Students taking TAKS Mathematics

## Comparison of Failures by Economically Disadvantaged



2008 All Students taking TAKS Mathematics

# 2008 District Results

**Reading District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 3-5**

**E**xemplary  
**R**ecognized  
**A**cceptable

2008						2007				
Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
Grade 3	96	89	94	99	93	96	87	94	99	92
Grade 3 Sp	89		89		89	91		91		91
Grade 4	89	70	81	98	79	90	78	85	96	82
Grade 4 Sp	81		81		81	81		81		81
Grade 5	95	85	92	99	91	95	83	93	99	90
Grade 5 Sp	88		88		88	89		89		88
<b>Combined 3-11</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>85</b>

2008						2007				
Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
Grade 3	47	24	28	67	25	47	18	30	63	24
Grade 3 Sp	16		16		16	15		15		15
Grade 4	35	14	21	51	17	36	21	18	55	17
Grade 4 Sp	19		19		19	33		33		22
Grade 5	36	27	17	59	16	30	14	17	47	16
Grade 5 Sp	28		28		27	29		29		29
<b>Combined 3-11</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>20</b>

**Reading District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 6-11**

**E**xemplary  
**R**ecognized  
**A**cceptable

2008						2007				
Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
Grade 6	93	92	88	99	87	94	91	90	99	90
Grade 7	89	86	81	98	81	90	85	83	98	82
Grade 8	97	97	94	99	94	92	84	88	98	86
Grade 9	89	84	81	98	81	89	83	81	99	80
Grade 10	93	89	89	97	88	92	88	85	97	84
Grade 11	93	91	86	99	84	92	89	84	98	83
<b>Combined 3-11</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>85</b>

2008						2007				
Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
Grade 6	51	42	32	78	31	57	40	40	81	38
Grade 7	38	19	21	59	20	34	14	15	57	14
Grade 8	60	40	41	83	39	49	30	31	73	28
Grade 9	45	25	24	71	22	35	19	18	56	15
Grade 10	30	12	16	42	13	19	7	9	28	7
Grade 11	35	15	15	53	11	37	21	17	54	15
<b>Combined 3-11</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>20</b>

# 2008 District Results

## Writing District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 4 & 7 at Panel Recommendation Level

**E**xemplary  
**R**ecognized  
**A**cceptable

% Met Standards	2008					2007					
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 4	95	88	92	98	91	95	91	94	97	92
	Grade 4 Sp	93		93		93	95		95		95
	Grade 7	92	89	88	99	87	96	97	93	98	93
	<b>Combined</b>	94	88	90	98	90	94	94	98	93	95

% Commended	2008					2007					
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 4	33	17	17	46	15	33	16	20	46	18
	Grade 4 Sp	24		24		24	22		22		22
	Grade 7	37	24	21	58	19	42	21	23	65	21
	<b>Combined</b>	34	21	21	52	19	36	19	22	56	20

## Science District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 5, 8, 10, & 11

**E**xemplary  
**R**ecognized  
**A**cceptable  
**U**nacceptable

% Met Standards	2008					2007					
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 5	85	75	78	95	77	77	53	69	94	66
	Grade 5 Sp	24		24		21	48		48		48
	Grade 8	80	72	65	97	65	61	39	41	89	41
	Grade 10	75	53	56	93	54	75	52	55	93	54
	Grade 11	87	71	76	97	74	85	65	72	96	69
	<b>Combined</b>	81	67	68	96	67	74	51	58	93	56

% Commended	2008					2007					
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W	ED
	Grade 5	41	28	25	62	25	37	16	23	60	21
	Grade 5 Sp	3		3		3	5		5		5
	Grade 8	36	15	16	60	15	21	4	6	40	5
	Grade 10	25	8	8	41	7	24	6	8	39	7
	Grade 11	21	4	6	34	5	18	2	6	28	4
	<b>Combined</b>	30	13	14	48	14	26	8	13	41	11

# 2008 District Results

## Social Studies District TAKS Results by Accountability Groups Grades 8, 10, & 11

**E**xemplary  
**R**ecognized  
**A**cceptable

% Met Standards	2008					2007				
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W
Grade 8	93	93	88	99	89	84	71	76	96	75
Grade 10	95	89	90	99	90	91	81	84	98	83
Grade 11	97	96	94	100	94	96	94	91	99	91
<b>Combined</b>	95	93	91	99	91	90	81	82	98	81

% Commended	2008					2007				
	Grade Level	All	AA	His	W	ED	All	AA	His	W
Grade 8	50	38	29	74	29	41	14	22	66	19
Grade 10	49	25	28	70	24	52	26	27	75	26
Grade 11	52	38	31	70	30	49	30	25	69	24
<b>Combined</b>	50	33	29	71	28	49	24	26	71	24



# 2008 District TAKS Results



Prepared by  
**Department of  
Accountability and Research**  
Keith Haffey, Ed.D., Executive Director